

# MAT 685: C++ for Mathematicians

## A modular class

John Perry

University of Southern Mississippi

Spring 2017

# Outline

- 1 Modular arithmetic
- 2 Observations from the code...  
...on the language  
...on the mathematics
- 3 Summary

# Outline

- 1 Modular arithmetic
- 2 Observations from the code...  
...on the language  
...on the mathematics
- 3 Summary

# From Modern Algebra or Number Theory

$$\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$$

- add, subtract, multiply: take remainder after dividing by  $m$

# From Modern Algebra or Number Theory

$$\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$$

- add, subtract, multiply: take remainder after dividing by  $m$
- has properties of a **ring**
  - addition, subtraction work “as desired”  
(closed, commutative, associative, identity, inverses)
  - multiplication works “almost as desired”  
(closed, commutative, associative, identity, *not always inverses*)

# From Modern Algebra or Number Theory

$$\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, m - 1\}$$

- add, subtract, multiply: take remainder after dividing by  $m$
- has properties of a **ring**
  - addition, subtraction work “as desired”  
(closed, commutative, associative, identity, inverses)
  - multiplication works “almost as desired”  
(closed, commutative, associative, identity, *not always inverses*)
- if  $m$  prime, has properties of a **field**
  - addition, subtraction same
  - multiplication “as desired”: inverses, too!

# Very useful

- anything cyclical
- “clockwork” arithmetic: 3 hours after 10 is 1 ( $10 + 3 = 1$ )
- coding theory
- cryptography
- computer science

# Let's implement as a class

- Constructors
  - include default constructor
    - default value obviously 0
    - default modulus? *not* 0



## Let's implement as a class

- Constructors
  - include default constructor
    - default value obviously 0
    - default modulus? *not* 0
- Getters
- Setters
- I/O
- Arithmetic operators
- Comparison operators

# Default modulus?

Whose choice?

# Default modulus?

Whose choice?

- ours?
  - need *something* to setup

# Default modulus?

## Whose choice?

- ours?
  - need *something* to setup
- user's?
  - better idea of needs
  - annoying & wasteful to change from *our* default modulus for every new object

## Default modulus?

### Whose choice?

- ours?
  - need *something* to setup
- user's?
  - better idea of needs
  - annoying & wasteful to change from *our* default modulus for every new object
- why not both?

## `constexpr` and `static`

`constexpr` expression can be evaluated to a constant at compile time (C++11 only)

- all involved expressions must be `constexpr` too
- appropriate choice for *our* default modulus
- observe different meaning from `const`, as book uses

## constexpr and static

`constexpr` expression can be evaluated to a constant at compile time (C++11 only)

- all involved expressions must be `constexpr` too
- appropriate choice for *our* default modulus
- observe different meaning from `const`, as book uses

`static` field w/same value in *all* objects of class

- changing it in one object changes it in all
- can change w/out object by scoping:  
`Class::static_field = new_value`
- appropriate choice for *user's* default modulus

## Possible problem

What if user selects invalid modulus?



## Possible problem

What if user selects invalid modulus?

### Throw exception

**exception** special class for “bad data”

- `#include <stdexcept>`
  - **see available exceptions**
  - can create your own, too!
- 
- terminates program immediately
  - can provide useful information
  - usage: `throw exception_type(“string description”);`

# Notes on throwing exceptions

- 1 Do it rarely...
  - can slow down code
  - better to write good code from the get-go
- 2 ...but do it when necessary
  - undefined behavior hard to debug
  - client can `try` and `catch()` exceptions, allowing recovery

# Interface

Ah, just look at **the source code**.

# Outline

- 1 Modular arithmetic
- 2 Observations from the code...  
...on the language  
...on the mathematics
- 3 Summary

# Outline

- 1 Modular arithmetic
- 2 Observations from the code...  
...on the language  
...on the mathematics
- 3 Summary

## Defined methods in class

Not typically done (or advisable)

- necessary for templates, inline code (see below)
- sometimes inadvisable: linker complaints?
- no need for class scope (`Mod::`) if in class

Can also define outside *class* but in *interface file*

- need full signature (class scope)

```
inline Mod Mod::pow (int64_t k) const {  
    // a miracle occurs here  
}
```

## Inlining code

### Function calls expensive

- involve small, but nonzero time penalty
- pushing, popping stack

## Inlining code

### Function calls expensive

- involve small, but nonzero time penalty
- pushing, popping stack

### “Inline” functions inserted directly

- no *real* function call takes place
- time penalty eliminated, but...
- ...space penalty possible
- okay with very short functions



## Inlining code

### Function calls expensive

- involve small, but nonzero time penalty
- pushing, popping stack

### “Inline” functions inserted directly

- no *real* function call takes place
- time penalty eliminated, but...
- ...space penalty possible
- okay with very short functions

**inline** keyword *requests*, but does not *guarantee* inlining

- compiler free to ignore
- compiler can inline even when not requested
- many counsel against using this keyword, but...
- ...I've seen it make a difference

## Static fields

```
static int64_t default_modulus;
```

- Universal to class: accessing `default_modulus` anywhere changes for all instances

x	y	z
val=7	val=4	val=8
mod=10	mod=11	mod=11
default_modulus=11		

- reading/modifying should *not* be bound to variable, but...
- ...field is protected member of class

# Static fields

```
static int64_t default_modulus;
```

- Universal to class: accessing `default_modulus` anywhere changes for all instances

x	y	z
val=7	val=4	val=8
mod=10	mod=11	mod=11
default_modulus=11		

- reading/modifying should *not* be bound to variable, but...
- ...field is protected member of class

solution: `static` functions

```
static int64_t Mod::get_default_modulus();  
static void Mod::set_default_modulus(int64_t);
```

## Initializing static fields

May not initialize non-constant static variable *in the class*:

```
static const int64_t default_modulus  
    = INITIAL_DEFAULT_MODULUS; // cool!  
static int64_t default_modulus  
    = INITIAL_DEFAULT_MODULUS; // error!
```

`default_modulus` not constant, so this is out.

## Initializing static fields

*May not initialize non-constant static variable in the class:*

```
static const int64_t default_modulus  
    = INITIAL_DEFAULT_MODULUS; // cool!  
static int64_t default_modulus  
    = INITIAL_DEFAULT_MODULUS; // error!
```

`default_modulus` not constant, so this is out.

*May initialize anywhere outside the class:*

```
class Mod {  
public:  
    static void set_default_modulus(int64_t m) {  
        // a miracle occurs here  
    }  
};  
  
Mod::set_default_modulus(INITIAL_DEFAULT_MODULUS);
```

## Constructors and fields

```
Mod() : mod(default_modulus), val(0) { }
```

```
Mod(int64_t x)
```

```
    : mod(default_modulus), val(x) { adjust_val(); }
```

```
Mod(int64_t x, int64_t m) : val(x), mod(m) {
```

```
    check_mod(); adjust_val();
```

```
}
```

```
Mod(const Mod & other) : val(other.val), mod(other.mod)
```

```
{ }
```

## Constructors and fields

```
Mod() : mod(default_modulus), val(0) { }
```

```
Mod(int64_t x)  
    : mod(default_modulus), val(x) { adjust_val(); }
```

```
Mod(int64_t x, int64_t m) : val(x), mod(m) {  
    check_mod(); adjust_val();  
}
```

```
Mod(const Mod & other) : val(other.val), mod(other.mod)  
{ }
```

- In each case, `mod` initialized before code is run.
- In last three cases, `val` also initialized before code is run.
- In each case, *we construct before we compute*.

## Constructors and fields

```
Mod() : mod(default_modulus), val(0) { }
```

```
Mod(int64_t x)  
    : mod(default_modulus), val(x) { adjust_val(); }
```

```
Mod(int64_t x, int64_t m) : val(x), mod(m) {  
    check_mod(); adjust_val();  
}
```

```
Mod(const Mod & other) : val(other.val), mod(other.mod)  
{ }
```

- In each case, `mod` initialized before code is run.
- In last three cases, `val` also initialized before code is run.
- In each case, *we construct before we compute*.
- Sometimes this is *required* (`const` fields).
- It is typically *more efficient*.



## Constructors and fields

```
Mod() : mod(default_modulus), val(0) { }
```

```
Mod(int64_t x)  
    : mod(default_modulus), val(x) { adjust_val(); }
```

```
Mod(int64_t x, int64_t m) : val(x), mod(m) {  
    check_mod(); adjust_val();  
}
```

```
Mod(const Mod & other) : val(other.val), mod(other.mod)  
{ }
```

- In each case, `mod` initialized before code is run.
- In last three cases, `val` also initialized before code is run.
- In each case, *we construct before we compute*.
- Sometimes this is *required* (`const` fields).
- It is typically *more efficient*.
- So: *construct before computing*

# Assignment is sometimes construction

Test code has

```
z = -3;
```

# Assignment is sometimes construction

Test code has

```
z = -3;
```

Interface does not define

```
Mod::operator = (int64_t x);
```

# Assignment is sometimes construction

Test code has

```
z = -3;
```

Interface does not define

```
Mod::operator = (int64_t x);
```

It *could* define it, but if not, corresponding constructor is called:

```
Mod::Mod (int64_t x);
```

## Arithmetic operators

C++ assumes nothing: defining

```
Mod operator + (  
    const Mod & m, const int64_t other  
);
```

does not likewise define

```
Mod operator + (  
    const int64_t other, const Mod & m  
);
```

If you want both, you must define both.

## Arithmetic operators

C++ assumes nothing: defining

```
Mod operator + (  
    const Mod & m, const int64_t other  
);
```

does not likewise define

```
Mod operator + (  
    const int64_t other, const Mod & m  
);
```

If you want both, you must define both.

In our case,

```
Mod Mod::operator + (const int64_t other);
```

does the same as the first.

## Arithmetic operator's return value

Standard practice is to return result of *any* operation, **including increment, decrement, scaling**

```
Mod & Mod::operator ++ () {  
    ++val; adjust_val();  
    return Mod(val, mod);  
}
```

## Arithmetic operator's return value

Standard practice is to return result of *any* operation, **including increment, decrement, scaling**

```
Mod & Mod::operator ++ () {  
    ++val; adjust_val();  
    return Mod(val, mod);  
}
```

Allows us to chain operations, an old C++ trick

```
cout << "++x = " << ++x << endl;
```

(Many languages do not allow this.)



# Should we really check correct modulus?

In arithmetic operations we check `mod & throw()` if different,  
*but...*

- checking `mod` slows arithmetic
- arguably client's job, not ours

## Pre- and post-inc/decrement

### Pre-increment

- “increment before returning value”
- `symbol` before variable
- return new value
- signature: `Type Type::operator ++ () ;`

## Pre- and post-inc/decrement

### Pre-increment

- “increment before returning value”
- `symbol` before variable
- return new value
- signature: `Type Type::operator ++ () ;`

### Post-increment

- “increment after returning value”
- `symbol` after variable
- return old value
- signature: `Type Type::operator ++ (int) ;`
  - argument is “dummy” variable
  - used *only* to distinguish from pre-increment

## Pre- and post-inc/decrement

### Pre-decrement

- “decrement before returning value”
- `symbol` before variable
- return new value
- signature: `Type Type::operator -- () ;`

### Post-decrement

- “decrement after returning value”
- `symbol` after variable
- return old value
- signature: `Type Type::operator -- (int) ;`
  - argument is “dummy” variable
  - used *only* to distinguish from pre-increment

# Outline

- 1 Modular arithmetic
- 2 Observations from the code...  
...on the language  
...on the mathematics
- 3 Summary

# Finding inverses

Recall Bézout's identity:

$$ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$$

# Finding inverses

Recall Bézout's identity:

$$ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$$

Modulo  $b$ , this reduces:

$$ax + 0 \equiv \gcd(a, b)$$

## Finding inverses

Recall Bézout's identity:

$$ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$$

Modulo  $b$ , this reduces:

$$ax + 0 \equiv \gcd(a, b)$$

If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then

$$ax \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$$



## Finding inverses

Recall Bézout's identity:

$$ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$$

Modulo  $b$ , this reduces:

$$ax + 0 \equiv \gcd(a, b)$$

If  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ , then

$$ax \equiv 1 \pmod{b}$$

This explains `inverse()`

# Finding powers

## Recursive algorithm for $a^k$

## Finding powers

Recursive algorithm for  $a^k$

- if  $k = 2\ell$ ,

$$a^k = a^{2\ell} = (a^\ell)^2$$

so just find  $a^\ell$ , multiply to self

## Finding powers

Recursive algorithm for  $a^k$

- if  $k = 2\ell$ ,

$$a^k = a^{2\ell} = (a^\ell)^2$$

so just find  $a^\ell$ , multiply to self

- if  $k = 2\ell + 1$ ,

$$a^k = a^{2\ell+1} = a \times (a^\ell)^2$$

so find  $a^\ell$ , multiply to self, then to  $a$

# Outline

- 1 Modular arithmetic
- 2 Observations from the code...  
...on the language  
...on the mathematics
- 3 Summary

# Homework

pp. 174–175 #9.1, 9.5

# Homework

pp. 174–175 #9.1, 9.5

*Hint on 9.1:* From Bézout, find  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$cm + dn = 1.$$

# Homework

pp. 174–175 #9.1, 9.5

*Hint on 9.1:* From Bézout, find  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$cm + dn = 1.$$

Notice this simplifies to

$$0 + dn \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \quad \text{and} \quad cm + 0 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$



## Homework

pp. 174–175 #9.1, 9.5

*Hint on 9.1:* From Bézout, find  $c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$cm + dn = 1.$$

Notice this simplifies to

$$0 + dn \equiv 1 \pmod{m} \quad \text{and} \quad cm + 0 \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

Let  $x = adn + bcm$ ; then

$$x \equiv adn + bcm \equiv a(dn) + 0 \equiv a \times 1 \equiv a \pmod{m}$$

$$y \equiv adn + bcm \equiv 0 + b(cm) \equiv b \times 1 \equiv b \pmod{n}.$$

So  $x$  is our solution, and the solution is unique modulo  $mn$ .

# Summary

- Math stuff
  - additional use for Bézout
  - fast exponentiation
- Programming stuff
  - assignment can be construction
  - `constexpr`
  - construct before computing
  - inlining code
  - returning from arithmetic operations
  - `static` (variables *and* methods)
  - throwing exceptions