

## MAT 681 ASSIGNMENT 2

Solve the following problems. Due in class Thursday, 8th September 2016.

1. Define  $\pi_{\leq i}$  as the map from  $\mathbb{X}$  to itself that “projects” a monomial in  $n$  variables to a monomial in  $i$  variables. For example,

$$\pi_{\leq 3}(x_1^5 x_2^4 x_4 x_5^2) = x_1^5 x_2^4.$$

You can think of  $\pi_{\leq i}$  as “chopping” variables  $x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}, \dots, x_n$  off the monomial. More formally, if  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , then

$$\pi_{\leq i} : \mathbb{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{X} \quad \text{by} \quad \pi_{\leq i}(x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}) = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_i^{a_i}.$$

Show that the definition of the grevlex ordering is equivalent to the following:

**Definition** (Alternate definition of grevlex). We say that  $t <_{\pi} u$  if there exists  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  such that  $\text{tdeg}(\pi_{\leq k}(t)) = \text{tdeg}(\pi_{\leq k}(u))$  for each  $k \in \{i+1, i+2, \dots, n\}$  but  $\text{tdeg}(\pi_{\leq i}(t)) < \text{tdeg}(\pi_{\leq i}(u))$ .

2. Let  $\mathbf{w}$  be a vector in  $(\mathbb{N}^+)^n$ . A **weighted degree ordering with respect to  $\mathbf{w}$** , which we will denote  $<_{\mathbf{w}}$ , orders  $t <_{\mathbf{w}} u$  whenever

$$\sum_{i=1}^n w_i \deg_{x_i} t <_{\mathbf{w}} \sum_{i=1}^n w_i \deg_{x_i} u \quad .$$

When  $\mathbf{w}$  is clear from context, which it often is, we simply write, “a weighted ordering.” For instance, if  $\mathbf{w} = (1, 2, 3)$ , then

$$x <_{\mathbf{w}} y <_{\mathbf{w}} z <_{\mathbf{w}} x^4 <_{\mathbf{w}} yz \quad .$$

- (a) Show that  $<_{\mathbf{w}}$  is by itself insufficient for an admissible ordering. A counterexample using  $\mathbf{w}$  in the example above will work, but for bonus points you should show it for arbitrary  $\mathbf{w}$ , since it gives you excellent practice refreshing your familiarity with vectors and linear algebra.
  - (b) Find a value of  $\mathbf{w}$  for which  $<_{\mathbf{w}}$  is really the first test in the grevlex ordering.
  - (c) For the weighted ordering with respect to  $\mathbf{w} = (1, 3)$ , sketch monomial diagrams that show:
    - (i) the region of monomials less than or equal to  $x^2 y^3$ , and
    - (ii) each line of monomials with an equal grading, up to total degree 9. (That is, you need not have any monomial with a grading larger than that of  $x^9$  in your diagram.)
  - (d) Propose a way to break ties in a weighted ordering, in a way that results in an admissible ordering. (*Hint:* Nothing stops you from adapting another ordering’s approach to breaking ties. There is, therefore, more than one way to do this, but try to make it as uncomplicated as possible.)
  - (e) Show that the ordering you describe in (d) is indeed admissible.
3. From *Peering into Advanced Mathematics*, all the exercises on pp. 23–24.