## Modern Algebra 1 Section 1 · Assignment 4

## JOHN PERRY

**Exercise 1.** (pg. 39 Warm Up b) Does  $\{47, 100, -3, 29, -9\}$  contain a representative from every residue class of  $\mathbb{Z}_5$ ? Does  $\{-14, -21, -10, -3, -2\}$ ? Does  $\{10, 21, 32, 43, 54\}$ ?

#### Solution:

The first one does not; both 47 and -3 are in [2]. The second and third do.

**Exercise 2.** (pg. 39 Warm Up c) What is the additive inverse of [13] in  $\mathbb{Z}_{28}$ ?

# Solution:

[15] + [13] = [0].

**Exercise 3.** (pg. 39 Warm Up d) What is the relationship between 'clock arithmetic' and modular arithmetic?

 $\diamond$ 

₋◊

# Solution:

'Clock arithmetic' is a special case of modular arithmetic. It takes place in  $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ .

Exercise 4. (pg. 39 Warm Up e) (a) What time is it 100 hours after 3 o'clock? (b) What day of the week is it 100 days after Monday?

# Solution:

(a) There are twenty-four hours in a day, and  $100 = 4 \cdot 24 + 4$ . By adding four hours to 3 o'clock, we see that 100 hours after 3 o'clock is 7 o'clock.

(b) There are seven days in a week, and  $100 = 14 \cdot 7 + 2$ . Two days after Monday is Wednesday, so 100 days after Monday is Wednesday.

**Exercise 5.** (pg. 39 Warm Up f) Solve the following equations, or else argue that they have no solutions:

(a) [4] + X = [3], in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . (b) [4] X = [3], in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ . (c) [4] + X = [3], in  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ . (d) [4] X = [3], in  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ .

#### Solution:

(a) Since 3 - 4 = -1 and [-1] = [5], X = [5].

(b) No solution. The multiples of [4] in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  are [4], [8] = [2], and [12] = [0]. All other multiples appear in those residue classes. *Better explanation:* [4]X = [3] iff [4X] = [3] iff (by Theorem 3.2) 4X - 3 = 6k for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$  iff 4X - 6k = 3 for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By a previous exercise, 3 must be a multiple of the gcd of 4 and 6. Unfortunately, gcd(4,6) = 2, and 3 is not a multiple of 2. Hence there is no solution to this equation.

(c) Since 3 - 4 = -1 and [-1] = [8], X = 8.

(d) Since  $4 \times 3 = 12$  and [12] = [3], X = 3.

**Exercise 6.** (pg. 39 Exercise 3) In Exercise c you determined the additive inverse of [13] in  $\mathbb{Z}_{28}$ . Now determine its multiplicative inverse.

Solution:

We need x such that [13] x = [1]. The multiples of [13] are

$$[13], [26], [39] = [11], [24], [37] = [9], [22], [35] = [7], [20], [33] = [5], [18], [31] = [3], [16], [29] = [1].$$

The thirteenth multiple is [1]. Thus [13] is its own multiplicative inverse.

**Exercise 7.** (pg. 39 Exercise 4) Find an example in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  where [a][b] = [a][c], but  $[b] \neq [c]$ . How is this example related to the existence of multiplicative inverses in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$ ?

# Solution:

If a = 2, b = 3, and c = 6, then [a][b] = [a][c] = [0]. This is related to multiplicative inverses because if [a] had a multiplicative inverse, then we could multiply it to both sides of the equation and show that [b] = [c].

**Exercise 8.** (pg. 40 Exercise 5) If gcd(a, m) = 1, then the GCD identity 2.4 guarantees that there exist integers u and v such that 1 = au + mv. Show that in this case, [u] is the multiplicative inverse of [a] in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

# Solution:

Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  be arbitrary, but fixed. Assume that gcd(ab) = 1. Then there exist  $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that

$$1 = au + mv$$
$$1 - au = mv.$$

By Theorem 3.2 and the definition of modular multiplication, [a][u] = [au] = [1], showing that [u] is the multiplicative inverse of [a] in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ .

**Exercise 9.** (pg. 40 Exercise 6) Now use essentially the reverse of the argument from Exercise 5 to show that if [a] has a multiplicative inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ , then gcd(a, m) = 1.

## Solution:

Let  $a, m \in \mathbb{Z}$  be arbitrary, but fixed. Assume that [a] has a multiplicative inverse in  $\mathbb{Z}_m$ . This means that there exists  $[u] \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  such that [au] = [a] [u] = [1]. By Theorem 3.2, 1 - au = km for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus

1 = au + km

for some  $u, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Thus 1 is a linear combination of *a* and *m*. Since no smaller positive integer exists, 1 is the smallest positive linear combination of *a* and *m*. By Corollary 2.5, gcd(a, m) = 1.  $\Diamond$ 

**Exercise 10.** (pg. 40 Exercise 7) According to what you have shown in Exercises 5 and 6, which elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  have multiplicative inverses? What are the inverses of each of those elements? (The answer is somewhat surprising.)

## Solution:

The elements that have multiplicative inverses are [1], [5], [7], [11], [13], [17], [19], and [23]. The "surprise" is that each invertible element is its own inverse.

**Exercise 11.** (pg. 40 Exercise 9) Prove that the multiplication on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  as defined in the text is well-defined, as claimed in Section 3.2.

₋⊘

Solution:

Let  $[a], [b] \in \mathbb{Z}_m$  be arbitrary, but fixed. By definition, [a][b] = [ab]. We must show that if [x] = [a], then [x][b] = [a][b]. That is, the fact that a residue class has two different representations does not affect the product

Since [x] = [a], Theorem 3.2 tells us that

$$(1) x-a=km$$

for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We want to show that [x][b] = [a][b]. It would suffice to show that [xb] = [ab], since the definition of modular arithmetic would then imply [x][b] = [a][b]. We could apply Theorem 3.2 to get [xb] = [ab] if we could find some integer j such that xb - ab = jm. Recalling equation (1),

$$x-a = km$$
$$(x-a)b = (km)b$$
$$xb-ab = (kb)m.$$

By closure,  $kb \in \mathbb{Z}$ . As hoped, Theorem 3.2 applies: [x][b] = [xb] = [ab] = [a][b].