## AN ALGEBRAIC PROOF OF RSA ENCRYPTION AND DECRYPTION

Recall that RSA works as follows. A wants B to communicate with A, but without E understanding the transmitted message. To do so:

- A broadcasts "RSA method, encryption exponent e, modulus N," where N = pq, p and q are large primes, and  $gcd(e, \phi(N)) = 1$ . (Here,  $\phi(N)$  indicates the number of integers between 0 and N that are relatively prime to N.)
- B encrypts a message m by computing  $c = m^e$ , and broadcasts c.
- A decrypts c by computing  $c^d = (m^e)^d$ , modulo N. (Here, d is the Bézout coefficient of e in the linear combination  $ed + t\phi(N) = 1$ .)

So RSA successfully encrypts and decrupts if  $m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{N}$ . To show this, we proceed through several claims.

**Definition.** Let  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  be the subset of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  whose elements are relatively prime to n.

**Example.**  $\mathbb{Z}_{35}^* = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11, 12, 13, 16, 17, 18, 19, 22, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 31, 32, 33, 34\}.$ Observe that  $\phi(N) = 24 = (5-1) \times (7-1)$ , where  $35 = 5 \times 7$ .

**Claim 1.** Every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  has a multiplicative inverse  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ ; that is,  $as \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . By Theorem 1.35 in the text, there exist  $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that as + nt = 1. Rewrite as n(-t) = as - 1. By definition of divisibility,  $n \mid (as - 1)$ . By definition of congruence,  $as \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . That is, s is a multiplicative inverse of a. In addition, the linear combination as + nt = 1 shows that gcd(s, n) = 1, so  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , as claimed.

**Example** (continued). It is easy to verify that the multiplicative inverse of 18 in  $\mathbb{Z}_{35}^*$  is 2.

**Claim 2.** For every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , the set  $S = \{ab : b \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*\}$  has  $\phi(n)$  distinct elements. In fact,  $S = \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ .

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  and compute S. For each  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , we have gcd(a, n) = gcd(b, n) = 1; by Exercise 1 below, gcd(ab, n) = 1. Hence each  $ab \in S$  is also an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , and  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Since  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  has  $\phi(n)$  elements, the only way S can have fewer is if ab = ac for distinct  $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . By way of contradiction, assume that such b and c exist. By Claim 1, a has a multiplicative inverse  $s \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Multiply both sides of our congruence by s, and we see that

$$s(ab) \equiv s(ac) \implies (sa) b = (sa) c \implies 1 \cdot b \equiv 1 \cdot c \implies b \equiv c$$

However, we chose  $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  to be distinct, so we have a contradiction. It must be that S has  $\phi(n)$  distinct elements, and since we knew  $S \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  we actually have  $S = \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ .

**Example** (continued). Let a = 13. Then the set S of Claim 2 is

 $S = \{13, 26, 4, 17, 8, 34, 12, 3, 16, 29, 33, 11, 24, 2, 6, 19, 32, 23, 1, 27, 18, 31, 9, 22\} \ .$  This is precisely  $\mathbb{Z}_{35}^*.$ 

**Claim 3.** For every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , there is some  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that  $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , and for the smallest such k there are k distinct powers of a, modulo n.

*Proof.* Let  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  and let  $T = \{a, a^2, a^3, \ldots\}$ . By Exercise 2 below, for each  $a^k$  we have  $gcd(a^k, n) = 1$ . Each  $a^k \in T$  is thus an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , so  $T \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Now,  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  is a finite set; to be precise, it has  $\phi(n)$  elements. That forces T to be a finite set; there must be distinct  $i, j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a^i \equiv a^j \pmod{n}$ . Without loss of generality, i < k. Since  $a^i \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , Claim 1 tells us it has a multiplicative inverse  $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ . Multiply both sides of the congruence by b, we see that

$$a^{i}b \equiv a^{j}b \implies a^{i}b \equiv (a^{j-i} \cdot a^{i})b \implies a^{i}b \equiv a^{j-i}(a^{i}b) \implies 1 \equiv a^{j-i}$$

Recall that i < j, so  $j - i \in \mathbb{N}^+$  and k = j - i satisfies  $a^k \equiv 1$ .

By the Well-Ordering Property, we can identify a smallest positive k such that  $a^k \equiv 1$ . To show that there are k distinct powers of a, modulo n, suppose that  $a^i \equiv a^j$ , where  $0 < i \le j \le k$ . As before,  $1 \equiv a^{j-i}$ . We chose k to be the smallest positive integer such that  $a^k \equiv 1$ , and j - i < k, so j - i cannot be positive. Instead, j - i = 0, which means i = j. In other words,  $a^i \equiv a^j$  only if i = j. So the powers  $a, a^2, \ldots a^k$  must all be distinct.

**Corollary.** For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_{35}^*$ , a's inverse is a power of itself.

**Example** (continued). Let a = 13. The set T computed in the proof of Claim 3 is

$$T = \{13, 29, 27, 1\}$$
.

So  $a^4 \equiv 1$ . Notice that |T| = 4, a divisor of  $\phi(35)$ . Also,  $13^3 \equiv 27$  is the multiplicative inverse of 13.

Let's do another. Let a = 26. The set T computed in the proof of Claim 3 is

$$T = \{26, 11, 6, 16, 31, 1\}$$

So  $a^6 \equiv 1$ . Again, we notice that |T| = 6, a divisor of  $\phi(35)$ . Also,  $26^5 \equiv 31$  is the multiplicative inverse of 26.

Notice that if  $26^2 \equiv 26^4$ , then we would have  $1 \equiv 26^2$ , but we already saw that k = 6 is the smallest positive number such that  $26^k \equiv 1$ .

**Claim 4.** For every  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , the number of distinct powers of a in  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  is a divisor of  $\phi(n)$ .

*Proof.* Let a, k, and T be as in the proof of Claim 3. Define  $U_1, U_2, \ldots$  iteratively as follows:

- $U_1 = T$ , and
- for i = 1, 2, ...,

- if  $U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_i = \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ , then stop;

- otherwise, choose  $b_i \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  that is not in  $U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_i$ , and let  $U_{i+1} = \{ab_i, a^2b_i, \ldots, a^kb_i\}$ . We proceed through several subclaims.

Subclaim 1. The sequence of  $U_i$ 's is finite.

Subproof. Each  $U_{i+1}$  is defined using an element of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^* \setminus (U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_i)$ . As  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  has finitely many elements, we can create a new set with an element not already taken only finitely many times.

Let  $U_{\text{last}}$  be the last one generated.

Subclaim 2.  $\mathbb{Z}_n^* = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_{\text{last}}$ .

Subproof. Were this not the case, the iteration would continue beyond  $U_{\text{last}}$ .

Subclaim 3. If  $i \neq j$ , then  $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$ .

Subproof. By way of contradiction, assume  $i \neq j$  and  $U_i \cap U_j \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $c \in U_i \cap U_j$ . By construction, there exist  $b_{i-1}, b_{j-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  and  $\ell, m \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that  $c \equiv a^{\ell}b_{i-1} \equiv a^m b_{j-1}$ . Without loss of generality, suppose i < j. By construction of the U's, we cannot have  $b_{j-1} \in U_i$ , as that would contradict the choice of  $b_{j-1}$ , which cannot be in  $U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_{j-1}$ , and  $U_i$  would be among them. However,  $b_{j-1} \equiv a^{\ell-m}b_{i-1}$ . If  $\ell - m \geq 0$ , then  $b_{j-1} \in U_i$ , a contradiction, so we must have  $\ell - m < 0$ . By Exercise 3 below, we know that  $b_{j-1} \equiv a^{k+(\ell-m)}b_{i-1}$ . In this case  $k + (\ell - m) > 0$ , and again  $b_{j-1} \in U_i$ , a contradiction. Hence  $U_i \cap U_j = \emptyset$ .

Subclaim 4. For each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots$  we have  $|U_i| = |T|$ .

Subproof. For  $U_1 = T$  this is true by definition. Any other  $U_i$  is constructed by multiplying  $a^j b_{i-1}$  for some  $b_{i-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$  and some j = 1, 2, ..., k. By Claim 2,  $a^j b_{i-1} \neq a^\ell b_{i-1}$  if  $1 \leq j, \ell \leq k$  and  $j \neq \ell$ .

Subclaim 2 tells us that the elements of  $\mathbb{Z}_n^*$  are all contained among the U's, which by Subclaim 3 have no common elements, and by Subclaim 4 are the same size. This is the basic model of division, so each  $|U_i|$  divides  $|\mathbb{Z}_n^*|$ . In particular  $|T| = |U_1|$  divides  $|\mathbb{Z}_n^*| = \phi(n)$ , and |T| is the number of distinct powers of a.

**Example** (continued). Earlier we showed that in  $\mathbb{Z}_{35}^*$ , with a = 13 we have  $U_1 = T = \{13, 29, 27, 1\}$ . Clearly  $\mathbb{Z}_{35}^* \neq U_1$ ; let  $b_1 = 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{35}^* \setminus U_1$ . Then

$$U_2 = \{13 \times 2, 29 \times 2, 27 \times 1, 1 \times 2\} = \{26, 3, 34, 2\}$$

Notice that  $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$ . Again,  $Z_{35}^* \neq U_1 \cup U_2$ ; let  $b_2 = 3 \in \mathbb{Z}_{35}^* \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2)$ . Then

$$U_3 = \{13 \times 3, 29 \times 3, 27 \times 3, 1 \times 3\} = \{4, 17, 11, 3\}$$
.

Notice that  $U_1 \cap U_3 = U_2 \cap U_3 = \emptyset$ . Again,  $Z_{35}^* \neq U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3$ ; let  $b_3 = 6 \in \mathbb{Z}_{35}^* \setminus (U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3)$ . Then

$$U_4 = \{13 \times 6, 29 \times 6, 27 \times 6, 1 \times 6\} = \{8, 34, 22, 6\}$$

Notice that  $U_1 \cap U_4 = U_2 \cap U_4 = U_3 \cap U_4 = \emptyset$ . Again,  $Z_{35}^* \neq U_1 \cup U_2 \cup U_3 \cup U_4$ ; continuing in this fashion, we choose and construct

$$b_4 = 9 \text{ and } U_5 = \{12, 16, 33, 9\}$$
  
 $b_5 = 18 \text{ and } U_6 = \{24, 32, 31, 18\}$ 

The iteration has ended, illustrating Subclaim 1. We have  $\mathbb{Z}_{35}^* = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_6$ , illustrating Subclaim 2. The U's are disjoint, illustrating Subclaim 3. ("Disjoint" means their intersection is empty.) The U's all have |T| = 4 elements, illustrating Subclaim 4. In fact,

$$\phi(35) = 24 = 6 \times 4 = (\text{number of } U's) \times |T|$$
.

**Claim 5** (Euler's Theorem). For any  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*$ ,  $a^{\phi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ .

*Proof.* By Claim 3, there is some  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that  $a^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , and the smallest such k is the number of distinct powers of a in  $\{a, a^2, \ldots\}$ . By Claim 4,  $k \mid \phi(n)$ . Choose  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $kq = \phi(n)$ . By substitution,

$$a^{\phi(n)} = a^{kq} = (a^k)^q \equiv 1^q = 1$$
.

**Example** (continued). Previously we saw that  $13^4 \equiv 1 \pmod{35}$ . Since  $4 \times 6 = 24$ ,

$$13^{\phi(35)} = 13^{24} = 13^{4 \times 6} = (13^4)^6 \equiv 1^6 = 1$$
.

Claim 6. The final step of the RSA algorithm deciphers B's message.

*Proof.* As explained at the beginning, we need to show that  $m^{ed} \equiv m \pmod{N}$ . By construction,  $ed+t\phi(N) = 1$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume d is positive and t is negative. Rewrite the equation as  $ed = 1 - t\phi(N)$ . Let u = -t > 0 and we have  $ed = 1 + u\phi(N)$ . By substitution into the congruence,

$$m^{ed} = m^{1+u\phi(N)} = m^1 \times m^{u\phi(N)} = m \times (m^{\phi(N)})^u = m \times 1^u = m$$
.

**Example.** This time we encrypt and decrypt the word DOGS a little more realistically.

Pair the word's letters as DO and GS. Transform DO into the number  $3 \times 26 + 14 = 92$  and transform GS into the number  $6 \times 26 + 18 = 174$ . Let

$$p = 23 \text{ and } q = 31 \implies N = pq = 713 \text{ and } \phi(N) = (23 - 1) \times (31 - 1) = 660.$$

Choose e = 511; it is easy to verify that gcd(511, 660) = 1 via the Euclidean algorithm. The encryption is then

DO: 
$$92^{511} \equiv 92^{1+2+4+8+16+32+64+128+256} \equiv 92$$
  
GS:  $174^{511} \equiv 50$ .

B thus broadcasts 92 and 50 to A.

To decrypt, A determines the decryption exponent d=31 using the Euclidean algorithm, then computes

$$92^{31} \equiv 92$$
$$50^{31} \equiv 174$$

A then transforms the numbers back into letters by dividing by 26:

$$92 = 3 \times 26 + 14$$
  
 $174 = 6 \times 26 + 18$ .

Observe that 3, 14, 6, 18 are precisely the numbers corresponding to D, O, G, S.

## **Exercises**

**Exercise 1.** Show that if gcd(a, n) = 1 and gcd(b, n) = 1, then gcd(ab, n) = 1.

**Exercise 2.** Show that if gcd(a, n) = 1, then  $gcd(a^k, n) = 1$ .

**Exercise 3.** Show that if  $T = \{a, a^2, ..., a^k\}$  is a complete list of distinct powers of a modulo n, then  $x \equiv a^k x \pmod{n}$ .

**Exercise 4.** For  $\mathbb{Z}_{35}^*$  and a = 13 compute the sets  $U_1, U_2, \dots U_{\text{last}}$  of Claim 4.

**Exercise 5.** Use the Euclidean algorithm to verify that 31 is the decryption exponent for N = 713 and e = 511.