## **TEAM EVALUATIONS**

## MAT 305 FALL 2011

## The groups were:

Assignment 1			
Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	
Aaron Ayers	Ryan Anderson	Emily Huffman	
Robert Hust	Charles Nelson	Amy Kern	
Creighton Nelms	Kristie West	Charles Nelson	
Jerry Pirkle	Shannon West	Hannah Ryan	
Group 4	Group 5	Group 6	
Joel Huber	Nevada Brown	Kris Katterjohn	
Nicole Kershaw	Anna Cubbage	Richard Robbins	
Cononiah Watson	Virginia Espejo		

Assignment 2			
Group 1	Group 2	Group 3	
Bethany Abdul-Hadi	Ryan Anderson	Aaron Ayers	
Nevada Brown	Anna Cubbage	Joel Huber	
Virginia Espejo	Cononiah Watson	Charles Nelson	
Kris Katterjohn			
Group 4	Group 5	Group 6	
Emily Huffman	Robert Hust	Amy Kern	
Nicole Kershaw	Hannah Ryan	Creighton Nelms	
Jerry Pirkle	Shannon West	Richard Robbins	
	1	Kristie West	

For each team member X, rate X according to the following criteria, using a scale of 0 (lowest) to 3 (highest):

- 1. X was communicative, showing up to scheduled meetings, participating in conversations, etc.
- 2. *X* made a genuine effort to contribute to the assignment.

In addition, rate *X* on the following criterion, using a scale of 0 (lowest) to 4 (highest):

3. *X* deserves full credit for the grade we received on the assignment.

**Example.** Suppose my name is Amy Kern. On Assignment 1, I was in Group 3; I write three separate evaluations: one for Emily Huffman, one for Charles Nelson, and one for Hannah Ryan. On Assignment 2, I was in Group 6; I write three more evaluations: one for Creighton Nelms, one for Richard Robbins, and one for Kristie West.

It is important to give honest evaluations. Keep in mind that if team member X didn't contribute, but neither team member Y nor team member Z report this, then X will end up in

another group assignment, which will affect the members of that group. If X is again paired with Y, then Y will be stuck doing a disproportionate amount of the work... again.

For each assignment, the average of the team evaluations counts as a team grade.